

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)  
Request for Comments: 8208  
Updates: 7935  
Category: Standards Track  
ISSN: 2070-1721

S. Turner  
sn3rd  
O. Borchert  
NIST  
September 2017

## BGPsec Algorithms, Key Formats, and Signature Formats

### Abstract

This document specifies the algorithms, algorithm parameters, asymmetric key formats, asymmetric key sizes, and signature formats used in BGPsec (Border Gateway Protocol Security). This document updates RFC 7935 ("The Profile for Algorithms and Key Sizes for Use in the Resource Public Key Infrastructure").

This document also includes example BGPsec UPDATE messages as well as the private keys used to generate the messages and the certificates necessary to validate those signatures.

### Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8208>.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction .....	3
1.1. Terminology .....	3
2. Algorithms .....	4
3. Asymmetric Key Pair Formats .....	4
3.1. Public Key Format .....	5
3.2. Private Key Format .....	5
4. Signature Formats .....	5
5. Additional Requirements .....	5
6. Security Considerations .....	5
7. IANA Considerations .....	6
8. References .....	7
8.1. Normative References .....	7
8.2. Informative References .....	8
Appendix A. Examples .....	9
A.1. Topology and Experiment Description .....	9
A.2. Keys .....	9
A.3. BGPsec IPv4 .....	13
A.4. BGPsec IPv6 .....	16
Acknowledgements .....	19
Authors' Addresses .....	19

## 1. Introduction

This document specifies the following:

- o the digital signature algorithm and parameters,
- o the hash algorithm and parameters,
- o the public and private key formats, and
- o the signature formats

used by Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI) Certification Authorities (CAs) and BGPsec (Border Gateway Protocol Security) speakers (i.e., routers). CAs use these algorithms when processing requests for BGPsec Router Certificates [RFC8209]. Examples of when BGPsec routers use these algorithms include requesting BGPsec certificates [RFC8209], signing BGPsec UPDATE messages [RFC8205], and verifying signatures on BGPsec UPDATE messages [RFC8205].

This document updates [RFC7935] to add support for a) a different algorithm for BGPsec certificate requests, which are issued only by BGPsec speakers; b) a different Subject Public Key Info format for BGPsec certificates, which is needed for the specified BGPsec signature algorithm; and c) different signature formats for BGPsec signatures, which are needed for the specified BGPsec signature algorithm. The BGPsec certificates are differentiated from other RPKI certificates by the use of the BGPsec Extended Key Usage as defined in [RFC8209]. BGPsec uses a different algorithm [RFC6090] [DSS] as compared to the rest of the RPKI to minimize the size of the protocol exchanged between routers.

Appendix A contains example BGPsec UPDATE messages as well as the private keys used to generate the messages and the certificates necessary to validate the signatures.

### 1.1. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

## 2. Algorithms

The algorithms used to compute signatures on CA certificates, BGPsec Router Certificates, and Certificate Revocation Lists (CRLs) are as specified in Section 2 of [RFC7935]. This section addresses BGPsec algorithms; for example, these algorithms are used by BGPsec routers to request BGPsec certificates, by RPKI CAs to verify BGPsec certification requests, by BGPsec routers to generate BGPsec UPDATE messages, and by BGPsec routers to verify BGPsec UPDATE messages:

- o The signature algorithm used MUST be the Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) with curve P-256 [RFC6090] [DSS].
- o The hash algorithm used MUST be SHA-256 [SHS].

Hash algorithms are not identified by themselves in certificates or BGPsec UPDATE messages. They are represented by an OID that combines the hash algorithm with the digital signature algorithm as follows:

- o The `ecdsa-with-SHA256` OID [RFC5480] MUST appear in the Public-Key Cryptography Standards #10 (PKCS #10) `signatureAlgorithm` field [RFC2986] or in the Certificate Request Message Format (CRMF) `POPOSigningKey` algorithm field [RFC4211]; where the OID is placed depends on the certificate request format generated.
- o In BGPsec UPDATE messages, the ECDSA with SHA-256 algorithm suite identifier value `0x1` (see Section 7) is included in the `Signature_Block List's Algorithm Suite Identifier` field.

## 3. Asymmetric Key Pair Formats

The key formats used to compute signatures on CA certificates, BGPsec Router Certificates, and CRLs are as specified in Section 3 of [RFC7935]. This section addresses key formats found in the BGPsec Router Certificate requests and in BGPsec Router Certificates.

The ECDSA private keys used to compute signatures for certificate requests and BGPsec UPDATE messages MUST come from the P-256 curve [RFC5480]. The public key pair MUST use the uncompressed form.

### 3.1. Public Key Format

The Subject's public key is included in `subjectPublicKeyInfo` [RFC5280]. It has two sub-fields: `algorithm` and `subjectPublicKey`. The values for the structures and their sub-structures follow:

- o `algorithm` (an `AlgorithmIdentifier` type): The `id-ecPublicKey` OID MUST be used in the `algorithm` field, as specified in Section 2.1.1 of [RFC5480]. The value for the associated parameters MUST be `secp256r1`, as specified in Section 2.1.1.1 of [RFC5480].
- o `subjectPublicKey`: `ECPoint` MUST be used to encode the certificate's `subjectPublicKey` field, as specified in Section 2.2 of [RFC5480].

### 3.2. Private Key Format

Local policy determines private key format.

## 4. Signature Formats

The structure for the certificate's and CRL's signature field MUST be as specified in Section 4 of [RFC7935]; this is the same format used by other RPKI certificates. The structure for the certification request's and BGPsec UPDATE message's signature field MUST be as specified in Section 2.2.3 of [RFC3279].

## 5. Additional Requirements

It is anticipated that BGPsec will require the adoption of updated key sizes and a different set of signature and hash algorithms over time, in order to maintain an acceptable level of cryptographic security. This profile should be updated to specify such future requirements, when appropriate.

The recommended procedures to implement such a transition of key sizes and algorithms are specified in [RFC6916].

## 6. Security Considerations

The security considerations of [RFC3279], [RFC5480], [RFC6090], [RFC7935], and [RFC8209] apply to certificates. The security considerations of [RFC3279], [RFC6090], [RFC7935], and [RFC8209] apply to certification requests. The security considerations of [RFC3279], [RFC6090], and [RFC8205] apply to BGPsec UPDATE messages. No new security considerations are introduced as a result of this specification.

## 7. IANA Considerations

The Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) has created the "BGPsec Algorithm Suite Registry" in the Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI) group. The one-octet "BGPsec Algorithm Suite Registry" identifiers assigned by IANA identify the digest algorithm and signature algorithm used in the BGPsec Signature\_Block List's Algorithm Suite Identifier field.

IANA has registered a single algorithm suite identifier for the digest algorithm SHA-256 [SHS] and for the signature algorithm ECDSA on the P-256 curve [RFC6090] [DSS].

## BGPsec Algorithm Suite Registry

Algorithm Suite Identifier	Digest Algorithm	Signature Algorithm	Specification Pointer
0x0	Reserved	Reserved	This document
0x1	SHA-256	ECDSA P-256	[SHS] [DSS] [RFC6090] This document
0x2-0xEF	Unassigned	Unassigned	
0xFF	Reserved	Reserved	This document

Future assignments are to be made using the Standards Action process defined in [RFC8126]. Assignments consist of the one-octet algorithm suite identifier value and the associated digest algorithm name and signature algorithm name.

## 8. References

### 8.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC2986] Nystrom, M. and B. Kaliski, "PKCS #10: Certification Request Syntax Specification Version 1.7", RFC 2986, DOI 10.17487/RFC2986, November 2000, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2986>>.
- [RFC3279] Bassham, L., Polk, W., and R. Housley, "Algorithms and Identifiers for the Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", RFC 3279, DOI 10.17487/RFC3279, April 2002, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3279>>.
- [RFC4211] Schaad, J., "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate Request Message Format (CRMF)", RFC 4211, DOI 10.17487/RFC4211, September 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4211>>.
- [RFC5280] Cooper, D., Santesson, S., Farrell, S., Boeyen, S., Housley, R., and W. Polk, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", RFC 5280, DOI 10.17487/RFC5280, May 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5280>>.
- [RFC5480] Turner, S., Brown, D., Yiu, K., Housley, R., and T. Polk, "Elliptic Curve Cryptography Subject Public Key Information", RFC 5480, DOI 10.17487/RFC5480, March 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5480>>.
- [RFC6090] McGrew, D., Igoe, K., and M. Salter, "Fundamental Elliptic Curve Cryptography Algorithms", RFC 6090, DOI 10.17487/RFC6090, February 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6090>>.
- [RFC6916] Gagliano, R., Kent, S., and S. Turner, "Algorithm Agility Procedure for the Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI)", BCP 182, RFC 6916, DOI 10.17487/RFC6916, April 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6916>>.

- [RFC7935] Huston, G. and G. Michaelson, Ed., "The Profile for Algorithms and Key Sizes for Use in the Resource Public Key Infrastructure", RFC 7935, DOI 10.17487/RFC7935, August 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7935>>.
- [RFC8126] Cotton, M., Leiba, B., and T. Narten, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", BCP 26, RFC 8126, DOI 10.17487/RFC8126, June 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8126>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8205] Lepinski, M., Ed., and K. Sriram, Ed., "BGPsec Protocol Specification", RFC 8205, DOI 10.17487/RFC8205, September 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8205>>.
- [RFC8209] Reynolds, M., Turner, S., and S. Kent, "A Profile for BGPsec Router Certificates, Certificate Revocation Lists, and Certification Requests", RFC 8209, DOI 10.17487/RFC8209, September 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8209>>.
- [DSS] National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", NIST FIPS Publication 186-4, DOI 10.6028/NIST.FIPS.186-4, July 2013, <<http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/NIST.FIPS.186-4.pdf>>.
- [SHS] National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Secure Hash Standard (SHS)", NIST FIPS Publication 180-4, DOI 10.6028/NIST.FIPS.180-4, August 2015, <<http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/NIST.FIPS.180-4.pdf>>.

## 8.2. Informative References

- [RFC6979] Pornin, T., "Deterministic Usage of the Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA) and Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA)", RFC 6979, DOI 10.17487/RFC6979, August 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6979>>.



## Appendix A. Examples

## A.1. Topology and Experiment Description

Topology:

```
AS(64496)----AS(65536)----AS(65537)
```

```
Prefix Announcement: AS(64496), 192.0.2.0/24, 2001:db8::/32
```

## A.2. Keys

For this example, the ECDSA algorithm was provided with a static `k` to make the result deterministic.

The `k` used for all signature operations was taken from [RFC6979], Appendix A.2.5, "Signatures With SHA-256, message = 'sample'".

```
k = A6E3C57DD01ABE90086538398355DD4C
    3B17AA873382B0F24D6129493D8AAD60
```

Keys of AS64496:

```
=====
```

```
ski: AB4D910F55CAE71A215EF3CAFE3ACC45B5EEC154
```

private key:

```
x = D8AA4DFBE2478F86E88A7451BF075565
    709C575AC1C136D081C540254CA440B9
```

public key:

```
Ux = 7391BABB92A0CB3BE10E59B19EBFFB21
    4E04A91E0CBA1B139A7D38D90F77E55A
Uy = A05B8E695678E0FA16904B55D9D4F5C0
    DFC58895EE50BC4F75D205A25BD36FF5
```

Router Key Certificate example using OpenSSL 1.0.1e-fips 11 Feb 2013

---

Certificate:

```
Data:
  Version: 3 (0x2)
  Serial Number: 38655612 (0x24dd67c)
  Signature Algorithm: ecdsa-with-SHA256
  Issuer: CN=ROUTER-0000FBF0
  Validity
    Not Before: Jan  1 05:00:00 2017 GMT
    Not After : Jul  1 05:00:00 2018 GMT
  Subject: CN=ROUTER-0000FBF0
  Subject Public Key Info:
    Public Key Algorithm: id-ecPublicKey
    Public-Key: (256 bit)
    pub:
      04:73:91:ba:bb:92:a0:cb:3b:e1:0e:59:b1:9e:bf:
      fb:21:4e:04:a9:1e:0c:ba:1b:13:9a:7d:38:d9:0f:
      77:e5:5a:a0:5b:8e:69:56:78:e0:fa:16:90:4b:55:
      d9:d4:f5:c0:df:c5:88:95:ee:50:bc:4f:75:d2:05:
      a2:5b:d3:6f:f5
    ASN1 OID: prime256v1
  X509v3 extensions:
    X509v3 Key Usage:
      Digital Signature
    X509v3 Subject Key Identifier:
      AB:4D:91:0F:55:CA:E7:1A:21:5E:
      F3:CA:FE:3A:CC:45:B5:EE:C1:54
    X509v3 Extended Key Usage:
      1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.30
    sbgp-autonomousSysNum: critical
    Autonomous System Numbers:
      64496
    Routing Domain Identifiers:
      inherit

  Signature Algorithm: ecdsa-with-SHA256
  30:44:02:20:07:b7:b4:6a:5f:a4:f1:cc:68:36:39:03:a4:83:
  ec:7c:80:02:d2:f6:08:9d:46:b2:ec:2a:7b:e6:92:b3:6f:b1:
  02:20:00:91:05:4a:a1:f5:b0:18:9d:27:24:e8:b4:22:fd:d1:
  1c:f0:3d:b1:38:24:5d:64:29:35:28:8d:ee:0c:38:29
```

```
-----BEGIN CERTIFICATE-----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-----END CERTIFICATE-----
```

Keys of AS(65536):

=====

ski: 47F23BF1AB2F8A9D26864EBBD8DF2711C74406EC

private key:

x = 6CB2E931B112F24554BCDCAAFD9553A9  
519A9AF33C023B60846A21FC95583172

public key:

Ux = 28FC5FE9AFCF5F4CAB3F5F85CB212FC1  
E9D0E0DBEAEE425BD2F0D3175AA0E989  
Uy = EA9B603E38F35FB329DF495641F2BA04  
0F1C3AC6138307F257CBA6B8B588F41F

Router Key Certificate example using OpenSSL 1.0.1e-fips 11 Feb 2013

---

Certificate:

```
Data:
  Version: 3 (0x2)
  Serial Number: 3752143940 (0xdfa52c44)
  Signature Algorithm: ecdsa-with-SHA256
  Issuer: CN=ROUTER-00010000
  Validity
    Not Before: Jan  1 05:00:00 2017 GMT
    Not After  : Jul  1 05:00:00 2018 GMT
  Subject: CN=ROUTER-00010000
  Subject Public Key Info:
    Public Key Algorithm: id-ecPublicKey
    Public-Key: (256 bit)
    pub:
      04:28:fc:5f:e9:af:cf:5f:4c:ab:3f:5f:85:cb:21:
      2f:c1:e9:d0:e0:db:ea:ee:42:5b:d2:f0:d3:17:5a:
      a0:e9:89:ea:9b:60:3e:38:f3:5f:b3:29:df:49:56:
      41:f2:ba:04:0f:1c:3a:c6:13:83:07:f2:57:cb:a6:
      b8:b5:88:f4:1f
    ASN1 OID: prime256v1
  X509v3 extensions:
    X509v3 Key Usage:
      Digital Signature
    X509v3 Subject Key Identifier:
      47:F2:3B:F1:AB:2F:8A:9D:26:86:
      4E:BB:D8:DF:27:11:C7:44:06:EC
    X509v3 Extended Key Usage:
      1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.30
    sbgp-autonomousSysNum: critical
    Autonomous System Numbers:
      65536
    Routing Domain Identifiers:
      inherit

  Signature Algorithm: ecdsa-with-SHA256
  30:45:02:21:00:8c:d9:f8:12:96:88:82:74:03:a1:82:82:18:
  c5:31:00:ee:35:38:e8:fa:ae:72:09:fe:98:67:01:78:69:77:
  8c:02:20:5f:ee:3a:bf:10:66:be:28:d3:b3:16:a1:6b:db:66:
  21:99:ed:a6:e4:ad:64:3c:ba:bf:44:fb:cb:b7:50:91:74
```

```

-----BEGIN CERTIFICATE-----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-----END CERTIFICATE-----

```

A.3. BGPsec IPv4

BGPsec IPv4 UPDATE from AS(65536) to AS(65537):

=====

Binary Form of BGPsec UPDATE (TCP-DUMP):

```

FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF  FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
01 03 02 00 00 00 EC 40  01 01 02 80 04 04 00 00
00 00 80 0E 0D 00 01 01  04 C6 33 64 64 00 18 C0
00 02 90 1E 00 CD 00 0E  01 00 00 01 00 00 01 00
00 00 FB F0 00 BF 01 47  F2 3B F1 AB 2F 8A 9D 26
86 4E BB D8 DF 27 11 C7  44 06 EC 00 48 30 46 02
21 00 EF D4 8B 2A AC B6  A8 FD 11 40 DD 9C D4 5E
81 D6 9D 2C 87 7B 56 AA  F9 91 C3 4D 0E A8 4E AF
37 16 02 21 00 90 F2 C1  29 AB B2 F3 9B 6A 07 96
3B D5 55 A8 7A B2 B7 33  3B 7B 91 F1 66 8F D8 61
8C 83 FA C3 F1 AB 4D 91  0F 55 CA E7 1A 21 5E F3
CA FE 3A CC 45 B5 EE C1  54 00 48 30 46 02 21 00
EF D4 8B 2A AC B6 A8 FD  11 40 DD 9C D4 5E 81 D6
9D 2C 87 7B 56 AA F9 91  C3 4D 0E A8 4E AF 37 16
02 21 00 8E 21 F6 0E 44  C6 06 6C 8B 8A 95 A3 C0
9D 3A D4 37 95 85 A2 D7  28 EE AD 07 A1 7E D7 AA
05 5E CA

```

Signature from AS(64496) to AS(65536):

-----

```

Digest:    21 33 E5 CA A0 26 BE 07    3D 9C 1B 4E FE B9 B9 77
           9F 20 F8 F5 DE 29 FA 98    40 00 9F 60 47 D0 81 54
Signature: 30 46 02 21 00 EF D4 8B    2A AC B6 A8 FD 11 40 DD
           9C D4 5E 81 D6 9D 2C 87    7B 56 AA F9 91 C3 4D 0E
           A8 4E AF 37 16 02 21 00    8E 21 F6 0E 44 C6 06 6C
           8B 8A 95 A3 C0 9D 3A D4    37 95 85 A2 D7 28 EE AD
           07 A1 7E D7 AA 05 5E CA

```

Signature from AS(65536) to AS(65537):

```
-----
Digest:   01 4F 24 DA E2 A5 21 90   B0 80 5C 60 5D B0 63 54
          22 3E 93 BA 41 1D 3D 82   A3 EC 26 36 52 0C 5F 84
Signature: 30 46 02 21 00 EF D4 8B   2A AC B6 A8 FD 11 40 DD
          9C D4 5E 81 D6 9D 2C 87   7B 56 AA F9 91 C3 4D 0E
          A8 4E AF 37 16 02 21 00   90 F2 C1 29 AB B2 F3 9B
          6A 07 96 3B D5 55 A8 7A   B2 B7 33 3B 7B 91 F1 66
          8F D8 61 8C 83 FA C3 F1
```

The human-readable output is produced using `bgpsec-io`, a `bgpsec` traffic generator that uses a `wireshark`-like printout.

Send UPDATE Message

```
+++marker: FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
+++length: 259
+++type: 2 (UPDATE)
+++withdrawn_routes_length: 0
+++total_path_attr_length: 236
  +---ORIGIN: INCOMPLETE (4 bytes)
  |   +---Flags: 0x40 (Well-Known, Transitive, Complete)
  |   +---Type Code: ORIGIN (1)
  |   +---Length: 1 byte
  |   +---Origin: INCOMPLETE (1)
  +---MULTI_EXIT_DISC (7 bytes)
  |   +---Flags: 0x80 (Optional, Non-transitive, Complete)
  |   +---Type Code: MULTI_EXIT_DISC (4)
  |   +---Length: 4 bytes
  |   +---data: 00 00 00 00
  +---MP_REACH_NLRI (16 bytes)
  |   +---Flags: 0x80 (Optional, Non-transitive, Complete)
  |   +---Type Code: MP_REACH_NLRI (14)
  |   +---Length: 13 bytes
  |   +---Address family: IPv4 (1)
  |   +---Subsequent address family identifier: Unicast (1)
  |   +---Next hop network address: (4 bytes)
  |   |   +---Next hop: 198.51.100.100
  |   +---Subnetwork points of attachment: 0
  |   +---Network layer reachability information: (4 bytes)
  |   +---192.0.2.0/24
  |   +---MP Reach NLRI prefix length: 24
  |   +---MP Reach NLRI IPv4 prefix: 192.0.2.0
```

```

+--BGPSEC Path Attribute (209 bytes)
  +--Flags: 0x90 (Optional, Complete, Extended Length)
  +--Type Code: BGPSEC Path Attribute (30)
  +--Length: 205 bytes
  +--Secure Path (14 bytes)
    | +--Length: 14 bytes
    | +--Secure Path Segment: (6 bytes)
    | | +--pCount: 1
    | | +--Flags: 0
    | | +--AS number: 65536 (1.0)
    | +--Secure Path Segment: (6 bytes)
    |   +--pCount: 1
    |   +--Flags: 0
    |   +--AS number: 64496 (0.64496)
  +--Signature Block (191 bytes)
    +--Length: 191 bytes
    +--Algo ID: 1
    +--Signature Segment: (94 bytes)
      | +--SKI: 47F23BF1AB2F8A9D26864EBBD8DF2711C74406EC
      | +--Length: 72 bytes
      | +--Signature: 3046022100EFD48B    2AACB6A8FD1140DD
      |                   9CD45E81D69D2C87    7B56AAF991C34D0E
      |                   A84EAF3716022100    90F2C129ABB2F39B
      |                   6A07963BD555A87A    B2B7333B7B91F166
      |                   8FD8618C83FAC3F1
      +--Signature Segment: (94 bytes)
        +--SKI: AB4D910F55CAE71A215EF3CAFE3ACC45B5EEC154
        +--Length: 72 bytes
        +--Signature: 3046022100EFD48B    2AACB6A8FD1140DD
        |                   9CD45E81D69D2C87    7B56AAF991C34D0E
        |                   A84EAF3716022100    8E21F60E44C6066C
        |                   8B8A95A3C09D3AD4    379585A2D728EEAD
        |                   07A17ED7AA055ECA

```

A.4. BGPsec IPv6

BGPsec IPv6 UPDATE from AS(65536) to AS(65537):

=====

Binary Form of BGP/BGPsec UPDATE (TCP-DUMP):

```

FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF  FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
01 10 02 00 00 00 F9 40  01 01 02 80 04 04 00 00
00 00 80 0E 1A 00 02 01  10 20 01 00 10 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 C6 33 64  64 00 20 20 01 0D B8 90
1E 00 CD 00 0E 01 00 00  01 00 00 01 00 00 00 FB
F0 00 BF 01 47 F2 3B F1  AB 2F 8A 9D 26 86 4E BB
D8 DF 27 11 C7 44 06 EC  00 48 30 46 02 21 00 EF
D4 8B 2A AC B6 A8 FD 11  40 DD 9C D4 5E 81 D6 9D
2C 87 7B 56 AA F9 91 C3  4D 0E A8 4E AF 37 16 02
21 00 D1 B9 4F 62 51 04  6D 21 36 A1 05 B0 F4 72
7C C5 BC D6 74 D9 7D 28  E6 1B 8F 43 BD DE 91 C3
06 26 AB 4D 91 0F 55 CA  E7 1A 21 5E F3 CA FE 3A
CC 45 B5 EE C1 54 00 48  30 46 02 21 00 EF D4 8B
2A AC B6 A8 FD 11 40 DD  9C D4 5E 81 D6 9D 2C 87
7B 56 AA F9 91 C3 4D 0E  A8 4E AF 37 16 02 21 00
E2 A0 2C 68 FE 53 CB 96  93 4C 78 1F 5A 14 A2 97
19 79 20 0C 91 56 ED F8  55 05 8E 80 53 F4 AC D3

```

Signature from AS(64496) to AS(65536):

-----

```

Digest:      8A 0C D3 E9 8E 55 10 45  82 1D 80 46 01 D6 55 FC
              52 11 89 DF 4D B0 28 7D  84 AC FC 77 55 6D 06 C7
Signature:   30 46 02 21 00 EF D4 8B  2A AC B6 A8 FD 11 40 DD
              9C D4 5E 81 D6 9D 2C 87  7B 56 AA F9 91 C3 4D 0E
              A8 4E AF 37 16 02 21 00  E2 A0 2C 68 FE 53 CB 96
              93 4C 78 1F 5A 14 A2 97  19 79 20 0C 91 56 ED F8
              55 05 8E 80 53 F4 AC D3

```

Signature from AS(65536) to AS(65537):

-----

```

Digest:      44 49 EC 70 8D EC 5C 85  00 C2 17 8C 72 FE 4C 79
              FF A9 3C 95 31 61 01 2D  EE 7E EE 05 46 AF 5F D0
Signature:   30 46 02 21 00 EF D4 8B  2A AC B6 A8 FD 11 40 DD
              9C D4 5E 81 D6 9D 2C 87  7B 56 AA F9 91 C3 4D 0E
              A8 4E AF 37 16 02 21 00  D1 B9 4F 62 51 04 6D 21
              36 A1 05 B0 F4 72 7C C5  BC D6 74 D9 7D 28 E6 1B
              8F 43 BD DE 91 C3 06 26

```



The human-readable output is produced using `bgpsec-io`, a `bgpsec` traffic generator that uses a `wireshark`-like printout.

Send UPDATE Message

```
+--marker: FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
+--length: 272
+--type: 2 (UPDATE)
+--withdrawn_routes_length: 0
+--total_path_attr_length: 249
  +--ORIGIN: INCOMPLETE (4 bytes)
  |   +--Flags: 0x40 (Well-Known, Transitive, Complete)
  |   +--Type Code: ORIGIN (1)
  |   +--Length: 1 byte
  |   +--Origin: INCOMPLETE (1)
  +--MULTI_EXIT_DISC (7 bytes)
  |   +--Flags: 0x80 (Optional, Non-transitive, Complete)
  |   +--Type Code: MULTI_EXIT_DISC (4)
  |   +--Length: 4 bytes
  |   +--data: 00 00 00 00
  +--MP_REACH_NLRI (29 bytes)
  |   +--Flags: 0x80 (Optional, Non-transitive, Complete)
  |   +--Type Code: MP_REACH_NLRI (14)
  |   +--Length: 26 bytes
  |   +--Address family: IPv6 (2)
  |   +--Subsequent address family identifier: Unicast (1)
  |   +--Next hop network address: (16 bytes)
  |   |   +--Next hop: 2001:0010:0000:0000:0000:0000:c633:6464
  |   +--Subnetwork points of attachment: 0
  |   +--Network layer reachability information: (5 bytes)
  |   |   +--2001:db8::/32
  |   |   +--MP Reach NLRI prefix length: 32
  |   |   +--MP Reach NLRI IPv6 prefix: 2001:db8::
```

```

+--BGPSEC Path Attribute (209 bytes)
  +--Flags: 0x90 (Optional, Complete, Extended Length)
  +--Type Code: BGPSEC Path Attribute (30)
  +--Length: 205 bytes
  +--Secure Path (14 bytes)
    | +--Length: 14 bytes
    | +--Secure Path Segment: (6 bytes)
    | | +--pCount: 1
    | | +--Flags: 0
    | | +--AS number: 65536 (1.0)
    | +--Secure Path Segment: (6 bytes)
    |   +--pCount: 1
    |   +--Flags: 0
    |   +--AS number: 64496 (0.64496)
  +--Signature Block (191 bytes)
    +--Length: 191 bytes
    +--Algo ID: 1
    +--Signature Segment: (94 bytes)
      | +--SKI: 47F23BF1AB2F8A9D26864EBBD8DF2711C74406EC
      | +--Length: 72 bytes
      | +--Signature: 3046022100EFD48B  2AACB6A8FD1140DD
      |                   9CD45E81D69D2C87  7B56AAF991C34D0E
      |                   A84EAF3716022100  D1B94F6251046D21
      |                   36A105B0F4727CC5  BCD674D97D28E61B
      |                   8F43BDDE91C30626
    +--Signature Segment: (94 bytes)
      +--SKI: AB4D910F55CAE71A215EF3CAFE3ACC45B5EEC154
      +--Length: 72 bytes
      +--Signature: 3046022100EFD48B  2AACB6A8FD1140DD
      |                   9CD45E81D69D2C87  7B56AAF991C34D0E
      |                   A84EAF3716022100  E2A02C68FE53CB96
      |                   934C781F5A14A297  1979200C9156EDF8
      |                   55058E8053F4ACD3

```

### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Geoff Huston and George Michaelson for producing [RFC7935], which this document is entirely based on. The authors would also like to thank Roque Gagliano, David Mandelberg, Tom Petch, Sam Weiler, and Stephen Kent for their reviews and comments. Mehmet Adalier, Kotikalapudi Sriram, and Doug Montgomery were instrumental in developing the test vectors found in Appendix A.

### Authors' Addresses

Sean Turner  
sn3rd

Email: [sean@sn3rd.com](mailto:sean@sn3rd.com)

Oliver Borchert  
NIST  
100 Bureau Drive  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899  
United States of America

Email: [oliver.borchert@nist.gov](mailto:oliver.borchert@nist.gov)